Table 108: Summary of Data Supporting CHB Rate Used in Analysis: United Kingdom

Northern/Western Europe

Meta-analysis not done for United Kingdom. Used CHB rate = 0.54% (range 0.30% to 0.60%)

Country	Study, survey vear(s)*	Region	Population and sampling method	Sex	Sample (n)	HBsAg positive (%)	lower CI	upper CI	RE weight (%)	FE weight (%) Reference	Access No	Ref No.
United Kingdom	Pendelton, 2007	national	National prevalence estimated from 1) population numbers (UK-born and migrants); and 2) CHB rates of 0.25% for UK-born and country-specific rates for migrants derived from the literature	both	NA	0.54%	_	_	NA	NA Pendelton S., P. Wilson-Webb. "Rising Curve: Chronic Hepatitis B in the U.K." Hepatitis B Foundation UK, 2007	not in PubMed	
United Kingdom	Boxall, 1990-1991	Birmingham	Pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at inner-city hospital	females	3,522	0.56%	0.31%	0.81%	NA	NA Boxall E., S. Skidmore. "The prevalence of hepatitis B and C in an antenatal population of various ethnic origins." Epidemiol Infect 113(3):523-8.	7527780	1389
United Kingdom	Gay, 1996	England and Wales	Anonymous resiudal diagnostic sera submitted to microbiology labs as aprt of the PHLS serological surveillance programme	both	3,781	0.37%	0.18%	0.56%	NA	NA Gay NJ, L.M. Hesketh, et al. "The prevalence of hepatitis B infection in adults in England and Wales." Epidemiol Infect 122(1):133-8.	10098796	1390
United Kingdom	Giraudon, 2000- 2007	London	Pregnant women; routine testing at 30 National Health Service maternity clinics 2000-2007; 96.4% of women were tested; no sample size reported	females	not reported	1.17%	0.30%	2.40%	NA	NA Giraudon, I., J. Ford, et al. (2009). "Antenatal screening and prevalence of infection: surveillance in London, 2000-2007." Euro Surveill 14(9):8-12	19317973	1388
United Kingdom	Health Protection Agency, 2007	10 regions in England	Pregnant women; routine testing at antenatal clinics during 4Q 2007	females	17,252	0.60%	0.48%	0.72%	NA	NA Sentinel Surveillance of Hepatits Testing. Antenatal HBsAg tsting. Health Protection Agency, 2009. http://www.hpa- bioinformatics.org.uk/hepc/results.php (Accessed 5/20/09)	not in PubMed	_
United Kingdom	Health Protection Agency, 2008	10 regions in England	Pregnant women; routine testing at antenatal clinics druing 2008	females	62,031	0.60%	0.54%	0.66%	NA	NA Sentinel Surveillance of Hepatitis Testing. Annual results: January to December 2008. Health Protection Agency. http://www.hpa- bioinformatics.org.uk/hepc/results.php (Accessed 10/20/10)	not in PubMed	

Table 109: Summary of Data Supporting CHB Rate Used in Analysis: Germany

Northern/Western Europe

Meta-analysis not done for Germany. Used CHB rate = 0.60% (range 0.40% to 0.80%)

					HBsAg				RE	FE		
	Study, survey				Sample j	positive	lower	upper	weight	weight		
Country	year(s)*	Region	Population and sampling method	Sex	(n)	(%)	CI	CI	(%)	(%) Reference	Access No	Ref No.
Germany	Thierfelder, 1998	national	National Health Survey conducted in 1998;	both	6,748	0.60%	0.40%	0.60%	NA	NA Thierfelder, W., W. Hellenbrand, et al. (2001).	11855576	1391
			stratified sampling according to state and							"Prevalence of markers for hepatitis A, B and C		
			community size used to select 120 sampling							in the German population. Results of the		
			points representative of the German population;							German National Health Interview and		
			people from military baracks, old people's							Examination Survey 1998." Eur J Epidemiol		
			homes, hospital, nursing homes and prisons							17(5):429-35		
			were excluded; "probably an under-estimate"									

Table 110: Summary of Data Supporting CHB Rate Used in Analysis: France

Northern/Western Europe

Meta-analysis not done for France. Used CHB rate = 0.68% (range 0.44% to 1.05%)

Country France	Study, survey vear(s)* Meffre, 2003-2004	Region national	Population and sampling method Stratified survey of members of the National Insurance Scheme; population of metropolitan France	Sex both	Sample p (n) 14,419	HBsAg positive (%) 0.68%	lower CI 0.44%	upper <u>CI</u> 1.05%	RE weight (%) NA	FE weight (%) Reference NA Estimation des taux de prévalence des anticorps anti-VHC et des marqueurs du virus de l'hépatite B chez les assurés sociaux du régime général de France métropolitaine, 2003-2004. www.invs.sante.fr/publications/2005/analyse_de scriptive_140205/rapport_analyse_descriptive.p df	Access No not in PubMed	<u>Ref No.</u> —
France	Meffre, 2004	national	General population age 18-80 yo using the national health insurance system; stratified, multistage sampling in five regions;	both	14,416	0.65%	0.45%	0.93%	NA	NA Meffre C., Y Le Strat, et al. (2010). Prevalence of hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus infections in France in 2004: social factors are important predictors after adjusting for known risk factors." J Med Virol 82(4):546-5	20166185	1397
France	Roudot-Thoraval, 1989*	Paris	Pregnant french women (non-immigrants); routine antenatal testing at four hospitals during 1984-1998	female	1,294	0.85%	0.35%	1.35%	NA	NA Roudot-Thoraval, F., F. Kouadja, et al. (1989). "[Prevalence of HBs antigen carriers and markers of B virus replication in a population of pregnant women, in France]." Gastroenterol Clin Biol 13(4):353-6	2737390	1396
France	Denis, 1994-1998	Limoges	Pregnant women; routine antenatal testing at a French Provincial Hospital during 1984-1998; 15% immigrants	female	22,859	0.65%	0.55%	0.75%	NA	NA Denis F., S. Ranger-Rogez, et al. (2004). "Screening of pregnant women for hepatitis B markers in a French Provincial University Hospital (Limoges) during 15 years." Eur J Epidemiol 19(10):973-8.	15575357	1395

Table 111: Summary of Data Supporting CHB Rate Used in Analysis: Ireland

Northern/Western Europe

Meta-analysis not done for Ireland. Used CHB rate = 0.35% (range 0.26% to 0.44%)

					HBsAg			RE		FE		
	Study, survey				Sample	positive	lower	upper	weight	weight		
Country	year(s)*	Region	Population and sampling method	Sex	(n)	(%)	CI	CI	(%)	(%) Reference	Access No	Ref No.
Ireland	Healy, 1998-2000	Dublin	Pregnant women routinely tested at antenatal	females	16,222	0.35%	0.26%	0.44%	NA	NA Healy, C.M., M.T. Cafferkey, et al. (2001).	11440046	1393
			clinics at Rotunda Maternity Hospital 1998-							"Antenatal hepatitis B screening - is there a		
			2000							need for a national policy?" Ir Med J 94(4):111-		
										2, 114		
Ireland	Tilson, 2005	Dublin	Rate selected for model of cost-effectiveness of	females	not	0.72%	0.48%	0.96%	NA	NA Tilson, L., L. Thornton, et al. (2008). "Cost	18160389	1392
			hepatitis B vaccination in Ireland; used rate in		reported					effectiveness of hepatitis B vaccination		
			pregnant women routinely tested at antenatal							strategies in Ireland: an economic evaluation."		
			clinics at Rotunda Maternity Hospital 2005							Eur J Public Health 18(3):275-82		
			(0.9%) as the upper estimate and a rate 50%									
			lower than this as the lower estimate									

Table 112: Summary of Data Supporting CHB Rate Used in Analysis: Netherlands

Northern/Western Europe

Meta-analysis not done for Netherlands. Used CHB rate = 0.41% (range 0.11% to 0.72%)

					HBsAg				RE	FE		
	Study, survey				Sample J	ositive	lower	upper	weight	weight		
Country	year(s)*	Region	Population and sampling method	Sex	(n)	(%)	CI	CI	(%)	(%) Reference	Access No	Ref No.
Netherlands	Baaten, 2004	Amsterdam	Participants of health monitoring survey of the	both	1,364	0.41%	0.11%	0.72%	NA	NA Baaten, G.G., G.J. Sonder, et al. (2007)	17935187	1394
			general Amsterdam population; weighted by							"Population-based study on the seroprevalence		
			sex, age, and ethnicity so that they are							of hepatitis A, B, and C virus infection in		
			representative for the general Amsterdam							Amsterdam, 2004." J Med Virol 79(12):1802-		
			population							10		